# Not Your Typical Turbo Blower

New Holstein Utilities' Blower Improvements

Don Lintner (NHU)

Eric Lynne (Donohue)

- New Holstein Utilities
  - Population (~3200)
  - Design
    - Flow (1.33 mgd)
    - Load (1600 ppd BOD)
  - Current
    - Flow (0.5 mgd)
    - Load (680 ppd BOD)



- Permit Requirements
  - ▶ BOD (20/30 mg/L)
  - TSS (20/30 mg/L)
  - TP (1.0 mg/L)
  - No disinfection
- Operational Practices
  - Extended Air (Nitrification)
  - Septage Receiving (Slugs)



- Aeration Blowers
  - ► Type Rotary Lobe
  - Function Aeration and Digester
  - Condition End of Useful Life
  - Reliability Concerns
  - Inefficient







Typically run 70 / 100 HP for 1600 scfm / 2300 scfm (mixing limited)

### **Facilities Plan**

- Blower Replacement
- Ancillary Systems
  - Building
  - Decant Tanks
  - WAS Control
  - Sludge Pumps
  - Standby Generator
  - DO Control
  - Lab Temperature
  - Workshop/Garage Bay



#### **Facilities Plan**

- Blower Replacement Alternatives
  - Replace In-Kind Rotary Lobe Blowers
  - Single Stage Centrifugal (Turbo) Blowers
- Efficiency
  - Life Cycle Cost Evaluation
- Upgrade Electrical Service
  - > 230V vs. 480V Power

Recommended Further Consideration



#### Facilities Plan / Preliminary Desigr

- Single Stage Centrifugal Blowers
  - High Speed Turbo (Sulzer/APG-Neuros)
    - Specialized Electronics
    - Cost
  - Integrally Geared with Sliding Vane (Turblex)
    - High Capacity / Cost
  - Integrally Geared with VFD (Inovair)
    - New







### **Design Concepts**

Design:

- A. Evaluated Blower Bid
- B. D.O. Control
- c. Modulating Valve
- D. 3-D Model

### A) Blower Evaluation



#### B) Dissolved Oxygen Control



### C) Modulating Digester Valve



# D) 3-D Models

- Design:
  - 3-D Model
  - Pump Gallery Operator Input allowed ability to pull/push flow every direction





# Funding







#### BIDS

Low Bidder:

> \$2,149,000

#### GRANTS

Aeration Blower:

Projected Energy Savings (\$16,500)

Building Heat:

Electric Heat  $\rightarrow$  Gas Heat Savings (\$7,500)

Grant Value

- \$ 33,976 WPPI
- \$ 68,165 Focus on Energy
- \$364,382 CWF Principal Forgiveness

\$466,523 Total (22%) > \$1,682,477 (net cost)



























#### (No trees were harmed on this portion of the project)







# Valved Flexibility

- Design:
  - Eliminated 1 pump
  - Some flowpaths can flow by gravity or pump
  - Rotary lobe pumps allow multi-use
  - All three can really move some sludge
  - Sacrificed Automation





#### Startup Plan

- How to sequence blower demo/startup to prove new units are fully functional?
  - (....Very Carefully)

- The Blowers Work!\*
  - Designed to typically need 2 blowers
- Now to Optimize
  - Reduce DO
  - Reduce Mixing Limited
  - Reduce Digester Airflow
  - Limitations:
    - Blower Turndown (Range 70-100%)
    - Inovair now offers wider-range units, consider varying sizes (or rpms?)

	<u>Starts</u>						
	This Hour	Today	Yesterday	This Month	Tota		
Blower 1	1	57	39	118	19		
Blower 2	2	60	37	122	168		
Blower 3	2	58	36	114	193		
Blower 4	0	۰ ۲	2	5	47		
Sludge Pump 1	0	6	0	0	4		
Sludge Pump 2	0	0	Ø	Ō	4		
Sludge Pump 3	0	0	11	38	142		
	To be all a second						
Control		121111					

- Blower PLC Programming
  - Surge Protection Feature
    - Manual reset
    - Head rise to surge worse at VFDmin
    - Ramps up VFD, fights valve PID







- Blower PLC Programming
  - Mass Airflow Winter Operation
  - Adjusted PLC Temp Setting

- Current
  - Operating smooth now
  - Maintenance Items:
    - Expensive Oil
    - Belt Tensioning
    - ► Air Filter
  - Observed Energy Savings
    - Average
    - Peak



Benchmarking

See Focus at Booth #136

Download Guide @

www.focusonenergy.com/guidebooks

Table 4Best Practice Benchmarks and Top Performance Quartiles for Wisconsin Wastewater Facilities							
Facility Type	Flow Range (MGD)	Average Energy Use (kWh/MG)	Top Performance Quartile (kWh/MG)	Best Practice Benchmark (kWh/MG)	Average Potential Savings		
Activated Sludge**	0 -1	5,440	< 3,280	3,060	44%		
	1-5	2,503	< 1,510	1,650	34%		
	> 5	2,288	< 1,350	1,760	23%		
Aerated Lagoon	< 1	7,288	< 4,000	3,540	51%		
Oxidation Ditch	< 1.2	6,895	< 4,000	4,320	37%		



#### ENERGY BEST PRACTICES GUIDE: WATER & WASTEWATER INDUSTRY



Benchmarking





#### Heating Improvements

Cost:

- ▶ \$40,000
- Benefit:
  - Reduced OPEX
  - Lab temp control
- 2-10 year payback without grants
- Demand charge reductions



Normalize for BOD 



Minimized Electric Heat

(some areas still have electric heat)





#### Summary

- More than Just a Blower Replacement Project
  - Blowers
    - Continued Optimization
  - Control System
  - Heating System

- Come Visit!